should make it a felony for people to move to this country as immigrants and bring those cultural things with them

I do not want to see female genital mutilation in this country and I hope every American agrees, and I cannot understand why this body will not move on it. But to still think we have got 36 bills of that wide a range that we have reintroduced, that are out there, that we are still going to keep trying to move before we are anywhere close to having parity with where men have been in all the health care issues.

Our point has always been, this is Federal money we are talking about, Federal money that goes to research and Federal money that goes to services, and they always collected the same tax dollars for women they did for men. No one ever said to women, "We'll leave you out of the research and we won't give you any services, but don't worry, we'll charge you lesser taxes." Maybe we would negotiate if they did that, but they never did. They charged us the same and then proceeded to leave us out of the research and cut us our of the services.

What we are trying to do is reclaim this, and the goal of the Congresswomen has been to try and know as much about women's health as we now know about men's health by the end of this century, so that we start on an equal health footing when we begin the next century. That is getting tougher and tougher to do, because over and over again the extremists in this body have turned around many of the gains that we are making. They turn them around daily. Today we will probably see another turnaround as we watch the first criminalization of a medical procedure that has ever happened in this body.

When we see these things happening to women's health, watch out. Yes, we should take a victory lap for what we have gained in information osteoporosis, on breast cancer, on many of the things that we have gotten passed, gotten funded, and gotten out there, and the fact that we have gotten women into these research models so we will know much more when those different programs are done and those research projects are finished. But we are not there yet. We are not there yet. It is very easy to deny us getting to that goal of equal information by the year 2000, and it is also very easy for them to push back all the progress we have made, So cheer, but be alert.

SUPPORT H.R. 1833, PARTIAL-BIRTH ABORTION BAN ACT OF 1995

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. CANADY] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CANADY. Madam Speaker, today we will consider a bill that deals with a hard truth. H.R. 1833 addresses the ugly reality of partial-birth abortion. While every abortion sadly takes a human life, the partial-birth abortion method takes that life as the baby emerges from the mother's womb.

Partial-birth abortion goes a step beyond abortion on demand. The baby involved is not unborn. His or her life is taken during a breach delivery. A procedure which obstetricians use in some circumstances to bring a healthy child into the world is perverted to result in a dead child. The physician, traditionally trained to do everything in his power to assist and protect both mother and child during the birth process, deliberately kills the child in the birth canal.

This is a partial-birth abortion: First, guided by ultrasound, the abortionist grabs the live baby's leg with forceps; second, the baby's leg is pulled out into the birth canal; third, the abortionist delivers the baby's entire body, except for the head; fourth, then, the abortionist jams scissors into the baby's skull. The scissors are then opened to enlarge the hole; sixth, the scissors are then removed and a suction catheter is inserted. The child's brains are sucked out causing the skull to collapse so the delivery of the child can be completed.

As you can see, the difference between the partial-birth abortion procedure and homicide is a mere 3-inches.

Abortion advocates claim that H.R. 1833 would "jail doctors who perform life-saving abortions." This statement makes me wonder whether the opponents of the bill have even bothered to read the bill. H.R. 1833 makes specific allowances for a practitioner who performs a partial-birth abortion that is necessary to save the life of a mother.

Of course, there is not a shred of evidence to suggest that a partial-birth abortion is ever necessary to save a mother's life or for maternal health

Indeed, the procedure poses significant risks to maternal health. Dr. Pamela Smith, director of medical education, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Mount Sinai Hospital in Chicago has written:

There are absolutely no obstetrical situations encountered in this country which require a partially delivered human fetus to be destroyed to preserve the health of the mother. Partial-birth abortion is a technique devised by abortionists for their own convenience . . . ignoring the known health risks to the mother. The health status of women in this country will . . . only be enhanced by the banning of this procedure.

Further, neither Dr. Haskell nor Dr. McMahon—the two abortionists who have publicly discussed their use of the procedure—claims that this technique is used only in limited circumstances. Dr. Haskell advocates the method from 20 to 26 weeks into the pregnancy and told the American Medical News that most of the partial-birth abortions he performs are elective. In fact, he told the reporter:

I'll be quite frank: most of my abortions are elective in that 20- 24-week range . . . probably 20 percent are for genetic reasons. And the other 80 percent are purely elective.

He advocates the method because, quote:

Among its advantages are that it is a quick, surgical out-patient method that can be performed on a scheduled basis under local anesthesia.

Dr. McMahon uses the partial-birth abortion method through the entire 40 weeks of pregnancy. He claims that most of the abortions he performs are nonelective, but his definition of nonelective is extremely broad. He describes abortions performed because of a mother's youth or depression as "nonelective." I do not believe the American people support aborting babies in the second and third trimesters because the mother is young or suffers from depression.

Dr. McMahon sent the subcommittee a graph which shows the percentage of, quote, ''flawed fetuses,'' that he aborted using the partial-birth abortion method. The graph shows that even at 26 weeks of gestation half the babies Dr. McMahon aborted were perfectly healthy and many of the babies he described as ''flawed'' had conditions that were compatible with long life, either with or without a disability. For example, Dr. McMahon listed 9 partial-birth abortions performed because the baby had a cleft lip.

The National Abortion Federation, a group representing abortionists, has also recognized that partial-birth abortions are performed for many reasons other than fetal abnormalities. In 1993, NAF counseled its members, "Don't apologize: this is a legal abortion procedure," and stated:

There are many reasons why women have late abortions: Life endangerment, fetal indications, lack of money or health insurance, social-psychological crises, lack of knowledge about human reproduction, etc.

The supporters of partial-birth abortion seek to defend the indefensible. But today the hard truth cries out against them. The ugly reality of partial-birth abortion is revealed here in these drawings for all to see.

To all my colleagues I say: Look at this drawing. Open your eyes wide and see what is being done to innocent, defenseless babies. What you see is an offense to the conscience of humankind. Today, we will attempt to put an end to this detestable practice. After today, it will be up to the President. He has the power to stop partial-birth abortion or continue to allow the killing of a living child pulled partially from his mother's womb.

## □ 1445

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. VUCANOVICH). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. McInnis] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. McINNIS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]